UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,593	10/10/2003	Palanisamy Arjunan	2002B147/2	2316
23455 759 EXXONMOBIL	90 01/10/2007 CHEMICAL COMPAN	Y	EXAM	INER
5200 BAYWAY	VAY DRIVE HARLAN, ROBERT D			ROBERT D
P.O. BOX 2149 BAYTOWN, TX	77522-2149		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1713	
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONT	245	01/10/2007	PΔP	ED.

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Dupplemental	10/683,593	ARJUNAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert D. Harlan	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communicatio ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 / 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	· •	s
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-49 and 58-70 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-49 and 58-70 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or is/are objected.	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be a controlled to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apority documents have been received in Apority documents have been received.	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/683,593

Art Unit: 1713

#### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/15/2006 has been entered.
- 2. The IDS filed by the Applicant on 11/15/2006 has been entered and considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1713

- 4. Claims 1-49 and 58-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weng et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,225,432 (hereinafter "Weng").
- Weng discloses branched polypropylene compositions. which have improved melt strength wherein the melting points are greater than 90 C. See Weng, Abstract; col. 1, line 56 through col. 2, line 16. Weng further discloses that the branched polypropylenes can be prepared from one or more metallocenes. See Weng Claims 8 and 11. Weng further discloses a wide assortment of metallocenes including metallocenes described in the present claimed invention. See Weng, col. 7, lines 34-41. Although Weng does not disclose all the characteristics and properties of the branched polypropylenes disclosed in the present claims, based on the substantially identical process using substantially identical catalysts and co-catalysts and the close relationship between "heat of fusion" and melting point, the Examiner has a reasonable basis to believe that the properties claimed in the present invention is inherent in the branched polypropylenes disclosed by Weng. Because the PTO has no means to conduct analytical experiments, the burden of proof is shifted to the Applicants to prove that the properties are not inherent. See In re Fitzgerald, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594

Application/Control Number: 10/683,593

Art Unit: 1713

(CCPA 1980); <u>In re Best</u>, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977); <u>In re Napier</u>, 55 F.3d 610, 613, 34 USPQ2d 1782, 1784 (Fed. Cir. 1995).

6. In conclusion the Examiner contends: (1) the metallocene used in Weng are substantially identical to the metallocenes used in the present invention, (2) the melting point teaches and branching data do not teach away from the teach of the present invention, but are consistent with the properties disclosed in the present invention, (3) considering that Weng teaches the use of more than one substantially identical metallocenes, the preparations in Weng and present invention are substantially identical. The Examiner further contends it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the claimed unimodal polypropylene, because it appears that the claimed unimodal polypropylene are within the generic disclosure of Weng and a person of ordinary skill in the art would have expected all embodiment of Weng to have similar properties. Furthermore, Applicant has not demonstrated that the differences, if any, between the claimed branched polypropylene and the propylene polymers disclosed by Weng give rise to unexpected results. evidence presented to rebut the prima facie case of obviousness must be commensurate in scope with the claims to which it See In re Dill and Scales, 202 USPQ 805 (CCPA 1979). pertains.

Application/Control Number: 10/683,593 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

### Conclusion

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM 8 PM.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 273-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713